

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,753	09	/17/2003	Keiichi Keyaki	i 05711.0157 38	
22852	7590	02/06/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGA LLP	N, HENDE	ERSON, FARA	LAVINDER, JACK W		
901 NEW Y	ORK AVE	NUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		20001-4413		3677	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/663,753	KEYAKI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jack W. Lavinder	3677

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack W. Lavinder	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a blice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replience the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 ( y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWTHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant runonamon	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· ———	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Anneal will r	ot he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa  ∧	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner	/

Ar Unit: 3677

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration.